

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,861	04/11/2005	Hiroshi Fukushima	3693-62	2268
23117 7 NIXON & VAN	7590 04/23/200 IDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			NGUYEN, LAUREN	
ARLINGTON, V	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
				···
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			TH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000	10/530,861	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lauren Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6 and 9</u> is/are withd	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7-8, and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	ΓO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/11/2005</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of **Species A1** and **Species B1** inventions, including claims 1-4, 7-8, and 10-16, in the reply filed on 03/30/2007 is acknowledged.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted was filed on the mailing date of the instant application on 04/11/2005. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 7, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsumura et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,246,451).
- 6. With respect to claim 1, as shown in figures 1-7, Matsumura et al. discloses a parallax barrier device comprising a pair of transparent-electrode substrates (31, figures 5A-5B) each provided with a transparent electrode (32),

Art Unit: 2871

- wherein a barrier light-shielding part (2bb) and a light-transmitting part (2aa) are formed in a gap between the pair of transparent-electrode substrates (figure 2),
- a liquid crystal layer is formed in the barrier light-shielding part (see at least column 6, lines 61-64), and
- a resin layer having the property of transmitting light is formed in the light-transmitting part (see at least column 6, lines 56-60),
- the barrier light-shielding part separates light for a first image viewed from a first direction and light for a second image viewed from a second direction different from the first direction (see at least column 7, lines 57-67 and column 8, lines 5-13), and
- the light-transmitting part transmits the light for the first image and the light for the second image (see at least column 6, lines 56-60).

Please note that the claims are directed to apparatus which must be distinguished over the prior art in term of structure rather than functions [MPEP 2114]. Hence, the functional limitations of "the barrier light-shielding part separates light for a first image viewed from a first direction and light for a second image viewed from a second direction different from the first direction, and the light-transmitting part transmits the light for the first image and the light for the second image" which are narrative in form have not been given any patentable weight. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. See In re Danley, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959).

Art Unit: 2871

1

- 7. With respect to claim 2, as applied to claim 1 above and shown in figures 1-7,

 Matsumura et al. discloses the first image is viewed by a viewer's left eye, and the second image is viewed by the viewer's right eye (see at least column 8, lines 19-26).
- 8. With respect to **claim 3**, as applied to **claim 1** above and shown in figures 1-7, **Matsumura et al.** discloses the barrier light-shielding part and the light-transmitting part are alternately arranged along a direction in a plane parallel to the pair of transparent-electrode substrates (figures 2 and 5A-5B), and the width of the barrier light-shielding part in the direction in the plane is equal to the width of the light-transmitting part in the direction in the plane (figure 4).
- 9. With respect to claim 7, as applied to claim 1 above and shown in figures 1-7,

 Matsumura et al. discloses the transparent electrode provided in each of the pair of transparentelectrode substrates is a common electrode (figures 5A-5B).
- 10. With respect to claim 10, as applied to claim 1 above and shown in figures 1-7,

 Matsumura et al. discloses the resin layer (2bb) having the property of transmitting light also functions as a spacer for maintaining a uniform space between the pair of transparent-electrode substrates (figure 2).
- 11: With respect to claim 12, as applied to claim 1 above and shown in figures 1-7,

 Matsumura et al. discloses the parallax barrier device of claim 1 (2); and an image display device (6) including a first pixel part constituting the first image and a second pixel part constituting the second image (L and R).

Art Unit: 2871

12. With respect to claim 13, as applied to claim 1 above and shown in figures 1-7,

Matsumura et al. discloses the first pixel part is a pixel part for a left eye (L2 and L4, figure 1),
and the second pixel part is a pixel part for a right eye (R1 and R3).

- With respect to claim 14, as applied to claim 12 above and shown in figures 1-7,

 Matsumura et al. discloses a light source (10) placed at a larger distance from a viewer (EL and ER) than those from the parallax barrier device (2) and the image display device (6).
- 14. With respect to claim 15, as applied to claim 12 above and shown in figures 1-7,

 Matsumura et al. discloses the liquid crystal layer switches display between a first display and a second display by switching the state of light between opaque and transmission in accordance with an electric signal applied to the pair of transparent-electrode substrates (figures 5A-5B; see at least column 7, lines 1-4).
- 15. With respect to **claim 16**, as applied to **claim 13** above and shown in figures 1-7, **Matsumura et al.** discloses the liquid crystal layer switches display between a stereoscopic display and a plane display by switching the state of light between opaque and transmission in accordance with an electric signal applied to the pair of transparent-electrode substrates (35, figures 5A-5B; see at least column 7, lines 1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2871

17. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,246,451) in view of Official Notice.

18. With respect to claim 4, Matsumura et al. discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection of claim 1 above. Matsumura et al. does not disclose the liquid crystal layer being a liquid crystal layer exhibiting homogeneous alignment and containing a liquid crystal material whose dielectric-constant anisotropy is positive, and the liquid crystal layer having a retardation of 1/2 of the wavelength of light entering the liquid crystal layer under application of no voltage.

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of 'the liquid crystal layer being a liquid crystal layer exhibiting homogeneous alignment and containing a liquid crystal material whose dielectric-constant anisotropy is positive, and the liquid crystal layer having a retardation of 1/2 of the wavelength of light entering the liquid crystal layer under application of no voltage' was well-known in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the liquid crystal layer exhibiting homogeneous alignment and having a retardation of 1/2 of the wavelength of light entering the liquid crystal layer under application of no voltage since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalent of "the liquid crystal layer exhibiting homogeneous alignment and having a retardation of 1/2 of the wavelength of light entering the liquid crystal layer under application of no voltage" and "the liquid crystal layer exhibiting homeotropic alignment and having a retardation of 1/2 of the wavelength of light entering the liquid crystal layer under application of a voltage" for their use in the liquid crystal display art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide a liquid crystal layer for an LCD device would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Claim 4 is therefore unpatentable.

Art Unit: 2871

19. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,246,451) in view of Eichenlaub (U.S. Patent Number 6,157,424).

20. With respect to claim 8, Matsumura et al. discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection of claim 1 above. Matsumura et al. does not disclose a pair of polarizers sandwiching the pair of transparent-electrode substrates therebetween, wherein the directions of transmission easy axes of the pair of polarizers are approximately parallel to each other.

However, **Eichenlaub**, in at least column 6, lines 66-67; and column 7, and 1-4, figure 6, discloses a pair of polarizers (35 and 40) sandwiching the pair of transparent-electrode substrates (36 and 38) therebetween, wherein the directions of transmission easy axes of the pair of polarizers are approximately parallel to each other (see at least column 7, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the parallax barrier device of **Matsumura et al.** with the pair of polarizers of **Eichenlaub** because such modification would provide a thinner, simpler, and less expensive device in which 2D image can be viewed without applying voltage to the barrier device and 3D image can be viewed by applying voltage to the barrier device (see at least column 7, lines 10-25).

- 21. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,246,451) in view of Lipton et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,686,975).
- 22. With respect to claim 11, Matsumura et al. discloses the transparent-electrode substrates as shown in the rejection of claim 1 above. Matsumura et al. does not disclose the method for fabricating the parallax barrier device of claim 1.

However, Lipton et al., in at least column 6, lines 44-46 and lines 59-62, figures 2A-2B, discloses applying a resin material having an approximately isotropic refractive index and having

Art Unit: 2871

the property of transmitting light onto the transparent-electrode substrates (203, see at least column 6, lines 44-46); and performing, on the resin material, processes of light exposure using a photo mask, development and baking, thereby forming the resin layer (see at least column 6, lines 59-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method for fabricating the parallax barrier device with the teaching of **Lipton et al.** since it was known in the LCD art that such method is a common practice to pattern the resin layer (see at least column 6, lines 51-53).

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,831,765) discloses a 2D/3D compatible type image display device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2871

·

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lauren Nguyen

April 5, 2007

Amblighter Andtiewes lechter P. LARY EXAMINER

Page 9